UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

PABLO ENRIQUE URIBE,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:21-CV-00151
	§	
MARTHA ARMIJO, *et al.*,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION

On September 27, 2021, United States Magistrate Judge Jason B. Libby issued a "Memorandum and Recommendation to Dismiss Case" (M&R, D.E. 11). The M&R recommends that, on initial screening, the claims be dismissed with prejudice as frivolous and that the dismissal count as a strike under the three strikes law. Plaintiff was provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's M&R. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge's M&R is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge's M&R. *Guillory v. PPG Industries, Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge's M&R (D.E. 11), and all other relevant documents in the record, and

finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, this action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** as frivolous and/or for failure to state a claim for relief pursuant to §§ 1915(e)(2)(B) and 1915A(b)(1). It is further **ORDERED** that this dismissal count as a "strike" for purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), and the Clerk of Court is **INSTRUCTED** to send notice of this dismissal to the Manager of the Three Strikes List for the Southern District of Texas at Three_Strikes@txs.uscourts.gov.

ORDERED on November 2, 2021.

NELVA GONZALES RAMOS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE